

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,696	12/16/2001	Michael Brock	MULLER-27	6217
5	7590 06/25/2003			
C James Bushman Browning Bushman 5718 Westheimer Suite 1800			EXAMINER	
			WANG, SHENGJUN	
Houston, TX 77057-5771			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	*		1617	V
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	υ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		lication No.	Applicant(s)			
		890,696	BROCK ET AL.			
		min r	Art Unit			
		ngjun Wang	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provious after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximuming in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I communication. irty (30) days, a reply within ustatutory period will appl reply will, by statute, cause of the mailing date of	. n no event, however, ma the statutory minimum of y and will expire SIX (6) N the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	a) filed on					
1) Responsive to communication(ian ia nan final				
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This act					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-17</u> is/are pending ir	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to be	y the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144) 			ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action S	ummary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 09/890,696 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The general formula given in claim 1 for alkanolammonium alkylsulfate is confusing in that the ions have not been balanced (cation only). The claim is indefinite as to the compounds encompassed thereby.
- 4. claim 14 recites further comprising one surfactant and one electrolyte. Note ionic surfactant may be considered as electrolyte. It is unclear if one ionic surfactant would meet both limitations. The claim is indefinite as to if the electrolyte is an additional ingredient other than ionic surfactant.
- 5. Claim 15, depending from claim 14, recites (F) as one additive. However, claim 14 recites (F) as electrolyte.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lerg et al. (US 6,132,738, IDS) in view of Balzer (US 5,605,651) and Bergmann et al. (US 5,077,040).
- 8. Lerg et al. teaches a cosmetic cleansing composition for shower comprising fatty alcohol ether sulfate alkanolammonium salt, or fatty alcohol sulfate alkanolammonium salt; an oil component, which may be triglycerides; a low alky alcohol, such as propylene glycol, and other well known cosmetic additives, including surfactant. See, particularly, the examples in columns 7 and 8, and the claims. Lerg et al. further teaches that it is considered within the skill of artisan to formulate various forms of oil containing composition, including oil-in-water emulsion, by using proper surfactant. See, particularly, column 1, lines 35-47.
- 9. Lerg et al. does not teach expressly a diluted form, i.e., with substantially amount of water, and in the form of microemulsion.
- 10. However, Balzer teaches that fatty alcohol ether sulfate alkanolammonium salt, or fatty alcohol sulfate alkanolammonium salt, are known to be an emulsifier, particularly, in cosmetic or pharmaceutical composition containing oil components. Bergmann et al. teaches that fatty alcohol ether sulfate alkanolammonium salt are known to be useful in cosmetic microemulsion compositions as surfactant. See, particularly, column 18, lines 34-60.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to dilute the composition of Lerg et al. with water and formulate the composition into micremulsion form accordingly.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to dilute the composition of Lerg et al. with water and formulate the composition into micremulsion form because fatty alcohol ether sulfate alkanolammonium salts, or fatty alcohol sulfate alkanolammonium salts are known

Application/Control Number: 09/890,696

Art Unit: 1617

to be useful as emulsifier, and are particularly useful in microemulsion cosmetic composition. Further, the optimization of a result effective parameter, e.g., particularly surfactant, or the amounts of each and every ingredients, is considered within the skill of the artisan. See, <u>In re</u> Boesch and Slaney (CCPA) 204 USPO 215.

- 11. Claims 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hermann et al. (US 4,371,584, IDS) in view of Balzer (US 5,605,651) and Bergmann et al. (US 5,077,040).
- 12. Hermann et al. teaches a cosmetic cleansing composition for shower comprising fatty alcohol ether sulfate, or fatty alcohol sulfate; and polyalkanolamine, such as isopropanolamine or diisopropanolamine; an oil component, which may be triglycerides; a low alky alcohol, such as propylene glycol, and other well known cosmetic additives, including surfactant. See, particularly, column 1, line 35 bridging column 2, line 24, the examples in columns 3 and 4, and the claims.
- 13. Hermann et al. does not teach expressly a diluted form, i.e., with substantially amount of water, and in the form of microemulsion.
- 14. However, Balzer teaches that fatty alcohol ether sulfate alkanolammonium salt, or fatty alcohol sulfate alkanolammonium salt, are known to be an emulsifier, particularly, in cosmetic or pharmaceutical composition containing oil components. Bergmann et al. teaches that fatty alcohol ether sulfate alkanolammonium salt are known to be useful in cosmetic microemulsion compositions as surfactant. See, particularly, column 18, lines 34-60.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to dilute the composition of Hermann et al. with water and formulate the composition into micremulsion form accordingly.

Art Unit: 1617

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to dilute the composition of Lerg et al. with water and formulate the composition into micremulsion form because fatty alcohol ether sulfate alkanolammonium salts, or fatty alcohol sulfate alkanolammonium salts are known to be useful as emulsifier, and are particularly useful in microemulsion cosmetic composition. Further, the optimization of a result effective parameter, e.g., particularly surfactant, or the amounts of each and every ingredients, is considered within the skill of the artisan. See, In re Boesch and Slaney (CCPA) 204 USPQ 215.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Patent Examiner G

Shengjun Wang

June 20, 2003